AO 120 (Rev. 2/99)

# TO: Mail Stop 8 Director of the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

#### REPORT ON THE FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR TRADEMARK

In Compliance with 35 § 290 and/or 15 U.S.C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action has been

filed in the U.S. D	istrict Court <u>Northern I</u>	District of California on the following $X$ Patents or	☐ Trademarks:
DOCKET NO.	DATE FILED	U.S. DISTRICT COURT	
CV 11-01134 PSG	3/9/2011	280 South First Street, Rm 2112, San	Jose, CA 95113
PLAINTIFF		DEFENDANT	
VA FILTRATION US	SA LLC	MAVRIK NORTH AMERICA	
PATENT OR	DATE OF DATEN		
TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATEN OR TRADEMARI		ADEMARK
17,651,616		SEE ATTACHED COMPI	AINT
27,829,977			
3		·	
4			
5			
	<u> </u>		
7 .4 1			
		ving patent(s) have been included:	
DATE INCLUDED	INCLUDED BY		¬
PATENT OR	DATE OF PATEN	Amendment Answer Cross Bill	Other Pleading
TRADEMARK NO.	OR TRADEMARI		ADEMARK
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
<u></u>			
In the above	ve—entitled case, the follow	ving decision has been rendered or judgement issued:	
DECISION/JUDGEMENT		and decision has been rendered or judgement issued.	
DECISION/JODGEMENT			
CLEBK		(BV) DEDUTY CLEDV	DATE
CLERK Richard W.		(BY) DEPUTY CLERK  Betty Walton	DATE  March 10, 2011

## Case5:11-cv-01134-PSG Document1 Filed03/09/11 GLYNN & FINLEY, LLP ROBERT C. PHELPS (No. 106666) One Walnut Creek Center 100 Pringle Avenue, Suite 500 Walnut Creek, CA 94596 Telephone: (925) 210-2800 Facsimile: (925) 945-1975 Email: bphelps@glynnfinley.com ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C. BRIAN A. TÓLLEFSON (*Pro Hac Vice* to Be Filed) JENNY L. WORKMAN (*Pro Hac Vice* to Be Filed) 1425 K St., N.W., Ste. 800 Washington, D.C. 20005 Telephone: (202) 783-6040 Attorneys for Plaintiff VA Filtration USA LLC UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA C11-01134 VA FILTRATION USA LLC, COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT Plaintiff. (DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL) vs. MAVRIK NORTH AMERICA Defendant. On information and belief, Plaintiff VA Filtration USA LLC ("VA Filtration") files this complaint for patent infringement against Mavrik North America ("Mavrik") and alleges as follows: THE PARTIES VA Filtration is a California corporation having its principal place of business in 1.

- 24
- this judicial district at 106 Dodd Court, American Canyon, CA 94503. 25
- Mavrik is a California corporation having its principal place of business in this 2. 26
- judicial district at 345 Tesconi Circle, Santa Rosa, CA 95401.
- 111 28

7

8

10

-1-1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1	JURISDICTION AND VENUE
2	3. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a),
3	in that it involves substantial claims arising under the United States Patent Act, 35 U.S.C. § 1 et.
4	seq.
5	4. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(a)(1).
6	5. Mavrik is subject to personal jurisdiction in California because, inter alia, Mavrik
7	is incorporated in California and has its principal place of business in this judicial district.
8	INTRA-DISTRICT ASSIGNMENT
9	6. This matter is properly assigned to the San Francisco/Oakland division of this
10	court pursuant to Local Rule 3-2(c), (d) because, as alleged herein, this matter arises between
11	parties that are residents of Napa and Sonoma counties.
12	<u>BACKGROUND</u>
13	7. VA Filtration is a leading provider of mobile filtration services for wineries,
14	offering inter alia volatile acidity reduction and smoke taint reduction.
15	8. On January 26, 2010, the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO")
16	duly and legally issued U.S. Patent No. 7,651,616 ("the '616 patent") entitled "Apparatus and
17	method for isolating and/or eliminating at least one solute from a solution" to Bryan Tudhope.
18	The '616 patent relates to a system and method for removing an ethyl acetate or an acetic acid
19	from untreated wine. A true and correct copy of the '616 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
20	9. On November 9, 2010, the USPTO duly and legally issued U.S. Patent No.
21	7,829,977 ("the '977 patent") entitled "Apparatus and method for isolating and/or eliminating at
22	least one solute from a solution" to Bryan Tudhope. The '977 patent relates to a system and
23	method for removing a solute from wine. A true and correct copy of the '977 patent is attached
24	hereto as Exhibit B.
25	10. VA Filtration is the exclusive licensee of the '616 patent and the '977 patent,
26	including all rights to enforce the patents and recover damages for all infringements thereof.
27	MAVRIK'S INFRINGING PRODUCTS AND SERVICES
28	11. Mayrik owns and operates a wine filtration business.

## Case5:11-cv-01134-PSG Document1 Filed03/09/11 Page3 of 6

1	12.	Mavrik performs, inter alia, the filtration services of volatile acidity reduction
2	("VA reducti	on") and smoke taint reduction for its clients.
3	13.	Mavrik also sells wine filtration systems that perform, inter alia, VA reduction
4	and smoke ta	int removal.
5	14.	On information and belief, Mavrik's services and systems work by separating the
6	wine into two	different portions using a nanofiltration membrane, a retentate that does not pass
7	through the n	nembrane and a permeate that does pass through; removing the unwanted
8	compounds fi	rom the permeate portion of the wine via the process of selective adsorption; and
9	recombining	the permeate portion of the wine with the retentate portion.
10		FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF
1-1	. 1 = 21 cm cms m crescondestibles	(Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,651,616)
12	15.	Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations of ¶¶ 1-14 as if set forth herein.
13	16.	On information and belief, Mavrik is infringing the '616 patent by making, using,
14	offering for s	ale and/or selling wine filtration systems and methods that directly, indirectly,
15 -	jointly with it	s customers, contributorily, and/or by inducement, infringe one or more claims of
16	the '616 pater	nt.
17.	17.	Plaintiff has suffered irreparable harm by reason of Defendant's infringement of
18	the '616 pater	nt, including, inter alia, the erosion of Plaintiff's statutory right to exclude others
19	from practici	ng the claimed invention of the '616 patent.
20	18.	Plaintiff is entitled to all damages adequate to fully compensate Plaintiff for
21	Defendant's i	nfringement of the '616 patent.
22	19.	Plaintiff is entitled to all damages adequate to fully compensate Plaintiff for
23	Defendant's	willful infringement of the '616 patent.
24		SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF
25		(Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,828,977)
26	20.	Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations of ¶¶ 1-14 as if set forth herein.
27	21.	On information and belief, Mavrik is infringing the '977 patent by making, using
28	offering for s	ale and/or selling wine filtration systems and methods that directly, indirectly,

#### Case5:11-cv-01134-PSG Document1 Filed03/09/11 Page4 of 6

1	jointly with it	s customers, contributorily, and/or by inducement, infringe one or more claims of
2	the '977 pater	nt.
3	22.	Plaintiff has suffered irreparable harm by reason of Defendant's infringement of
4	the '977 pater	nt, including, inter alia, the erosion of Plaintiff's statutory right to exclude others
5	from practicit	ng the claimed invention of the '977 patent.
6	23.	Plaintiff is entitled to all damages adequate to fully compensate Plaintiff for
7	Defendant's i	infringement of the '977 patent.
8	24.	Plaintiff is entitled to all damages adequate to fully compensate Plaintiff for
9	Defendant's	willful infringement of the '977 patent.
10	WHE	REFORE, VA Filtration requests this Court enter the following relief:
11	(a)	a judgment that Defendant has infringed the '616 patent;
12	(b)	a judgment preliminarily and permanently enjoining Defendant and its respective
13	agents, serva	nts, officers, directors, employees, and all other persons acting in concert with it,
14	from directly	, or indirectly infringing, inducing others to infringe, or contributing to the
15	infringement	of the '616 patent;
16	(c)	a judgment awarding Plaintiffs damages for infringement of the '616 patent,
17	including los	t profits, but in an amount no less than a reasonable royalty, for the use made of the
18	invention by	the Defendant;
19	(d)	a declaration that Defendant's infringement of the '616 patent was willful,
20	deliberate an	d intentional, and a judgment trebling the damages for infringement thereof, in
21	accordance v	vith 35 U.S.C. § 284;
22	(e)	a judgment that Defendant has infringed the '977 patent;
23	(f)	a judgment preliminarily and permanently enjoining Defendant and its respective
24	agents, serva	nts, officers, directors, employees, and all other persons acting in concert with it,
25	from directly	, or indirectly infringing, inducing others to infringe, or contributing to the
26	infringement	t of the '977 patent;
27	111	
28	111	

### Case5:11-cv-01134-PSG Document1 Filed03/09/11 Page5 of 6

1	(g) a judgment awarding Plaintiffs damages for infringement of the '977 patent,			
2	including lost p	rofits, but in an amount no less	s than	a reasonable royalty, for the use made of the
3	invention by the	e Defendants;		
4	(h) :	a declaration that Defendant's	infring	gement of the '977 patent was willful,
5	deliberate and i	ntentional, and a judgment tre	bling t	he damages for infringement thereof, in
6	accordance with	h 35 U.S.C. § 284; and		
7	(i)	that this Court award VA Filtra	ation s	uch further relief as this Court may deem
8	necessary, just,	and/or proper.		<del>.</del>
9	Dated: 1	March 9, 2011		
10				GLYNN & FINLEY, LLP ROBERT C. PHELPS
1-1		• 		One Walnut Creek Center 100 Pringle Avenue, Suite 500
12				Walnut Creek, CA 94596
13				ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST &
				MANBECK, P.C. BRIAN A. TOLLEFSON
14				JENNY L. WORKMAN
15				1425 K St., N.W., Ste. 800 Washington, D.C. 20005
16				
17 18				By WUS
19				Robert C. Phelps
20				Attorneys for Plaintiff VA Filtration USA LLC
21	.•			
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

#### Case5:11-cv-01134-PSG Document1 Filed03/09/11 Page6 of 6

1	<u>JURY TRIAL DEMANDED</u>			
. 2	VA Filtration requests a jury trial t	under Fed. R. Civ. P. 38.		
3	Dated: March 9, 2011	GLYNN & FINLEY, LLP		
4		ROBERT C. PHELPS		
5		One Walnut Creek Center 100 Pringle Avenue, Suite 500 Walnut Creek, CA 94596		
6				
7		ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C.		
8		BRIAN A. TOLLEFSON JENNY L. WORKMAN		
9		1425 K St., N.W., Ste. 800 Washington, D.C. 20005		
10		Washington, 2101 20000		
-1-1		A		
12		By Robert C. Phelps		
13		Attorneys for Plaintiff		
14		VA Filtration USA LLC		
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22	•			